EMOTIVE EFFORT AMONG MEDICAL PROFESSIONALS IN PAKISTAN

Kanwal Bilal
Lecturer, Department of Management Sciences
COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, Lahore, Pakistan
kanwalbilal@ciitlahore.edu.pk, kbilalsabir@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The aim of this paper is to investigate the relationship between work complexity, emotive effort and its effects on job satisfaction and Emotion Management related stress among medical professionals; as in accordance with 'The Managed Heart' by Arlie Hochschild, it is asserted that emotive toil is carried out by medical professionals. Correspondence among work complexity, emotive toil and job satisfaction are ascertained by circulating questionnaires among medical personnel. This correspondence is investigated by the use of regression analysis. Conclusions drawn on the basis of analysis disclose that emotive struggle was considerably negatively associated to job satisfaction and work complexity. The research verdicts are only restricted to the medical professionals working in different hospitals in Lahore, Pakistan.

Keywords: *Emotive effort, medical professionals, job satisfaction, work complexity*

INTRODUCTION

Employees working in the service sector tend to administer their feelings continuously and persistently and that is what is revealed to be known as the emotive toil or the management of feelings in general (Hochschild, 1983). The term emotive toil or struggle is very much relevant to the service sector work line. Researchers have been keen to delve into the notion and influence of emotion management on the nurses and doctors and other employees who ought to exert an immense endeavor in order to deal with their sentiments while at work (Haas, 1977; Smith and Kleinman, 1989; Cahill, 1999; Pierce, 1995; Lively, 2000; Sloan, 2008). Extant literature in emotion management subsists in the organizational behavior and sociological analyses which are inclined towards the qualitative approaches; however, there are only a few quantitative studies available on emotion management (e.g., Wharton, 1993; Morris & Feldman, 1996; Kruml & Geddes, 2000a). Though researchers have tried to quantify emotive toil, there are nevertheless quite a lot of unsettled and open-ended concerns adjoining the dimension and delineation of emotion management (Fisher & Ashkanasy, 2000).

Researchers have employed varied methods and tactics in order to be familiar with its form and measurement. A number of researchers consider emotion management as a basic and one-dimensional paradigm based on the strength and rate of recurrence of the emotive demonstration (Hochschild, 1983; Abraham, 1998), whereas other analysts have viewed emotional toil as multi-faceted model (Morris & Feldman, 1997; Grandey, 1999; Schaubroeck and Jones, 2000; Kruml and Geddes, 2000a).

Employees are expected to display constructive and buoyant expressions and they are restrained and inhibited to show destructive and disapproving emotive expressions. Accordingly, it is implied that demonstration of emotions by a worker is not merely a personal act but it is a public encounter which is commanded and managed by the regulation and instruction of employer. Workers undergo episodic and sporadic meetings in order to be trained at how and when to grin and beam genuinely and honestly and how to revolutionize annoyance and resentment into communal compassion and consideration (Yanay & Shahar, 1998). Such rehearsals and trainings encourage and facilitate workers to become skilled at holding back and censoring their heartfelt emotions and to exhibit organizationally aspired passion and sentiments. Hochschild portrayed emotive toil as the administration and supervision of sentiment in order to produce or craft an expression which is generated with the aim of getting a stipend and thus, emotional labor holds a trade appeal and worth.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Existing literature suggests that emotional labor is the performance of posing relevant and apt feelings for the purpose of achieving company goals (Gardner and Martinko, 1988). Accordingly, it can be said that emotional labor falls into the category of impression management (Ashforth and Humphrey, 1993). Employees tend to reveal or cover up their emotions and such exposure and inhibition of feelings is said to be recognized as emotive display (Rosenberg, 1990). Emotional labor refers to induction of spectator's judgment by the exhibition of apposite sentiments and emotions (Hochschild, 2003).

WORK COMPLEXITY

Most of the existing literature in the sphere of management of feelings is concentrated and centered at the workers who are employed in the service sector. Considerable work complexity has been associated with an employees' extent of carrying out emotive regulation (Pugliesi, 1999; Erickson and Ritter, 2001) and hence, work complexity has also been correlated with the general health of employees (Miller et. al, 1979; Sloan, 2008). Work complexity is identified as a basis of emotive toil by many researchers (Morris and Feldman, 1996; Pugliesi, 1999; Monaghan, 2006). Findings from prior exploration indicates that complexity of job is negatively related with the extent of emotive management executed (Pugliesi, 1999).

Foregoing literature sheds light on the fact that complexities of work and emotion management are not significantly associated with each other (Pugliesi, 1999). It implies that the lesser the complexity of the job, the more the extent of emotion management accomplished (Monaghan, 2006). Complexity of work has not been taken into account for investigation with regards to emotion management apart from the three inquires figured out by Adelmann (1995), Pugliesi (1999) and Sloan (2008). Findings give us an idea that work complexity is inconsequential and depicts trivial relationship with the intensity of emotive administration entailed by workers (Pugliesi, 1999). Inference from prior analysis also makes the fact clear that significant amount of work complexity leads to the dwindling of estrangement from one's true and real self (Sloan, 2008). Thus, the following hypothesis is educated from the extant literature:

Hypothesis 1: Employees execute less emotive toil as complexity of work enhances.

JOB SATISFACTION

Job satisfaction is also classified as a sentimental and touching corollary to an individual's work ensuing from one's judgment and assessment of authentic and anticipated consequences (Cranny et al., 1992). On the other hand, job dissatisfaction is a disagreeable expressive condition occurring as a result of irksome assessment of one's work (Yang and Chang, 2008).

Canvassers probing emotive struggle have not yet made up a decision regarding the boost or dwindle in the levels of satisfaction or dissatisfaction of job due to the carrying out of emotional toil. Assumption and deduction of researchers acquaints us with the outlook that execution of emotive effort generates higher levels of work dissatisfaction (Hochschild, 1983; Rafaeli and Sutton, 1987; Morris and Feldman, 1996) whereas pragmatic explorations do not defend this viewpoint (Wharton, 1993).

Striving to administer one's private sentiments for the sake of earning a wage would obviously result in dissatisfaction and discontent, so workers who are anticipated to adjust and fiddle with their sentiments would confront disappointment and dissatisfaction at the workplace (Hochschild, 1983).

Practical findings disclose that there exists an uncertain and undecided associated between emotive struggle and job satisfaction because employees' especially medical professionals may keep up an impartial and dispassionate frame of mind in order to call a halt to stress and dissatisfaction (Smith and Kleinman, 1989).

Prior research pertaining to emotive struggle and the levels of job satisfaction have brought in jumbled and muddled up results. There are some investigations which reckon that workers engaging in emotive toil and hard

work show up relatively reduced extent of job satisfaction (Abraham, 1998). However, other studies have considered emotive toil as a basis of job satisfaction (Ashforth and Humphrey, 1993; Adelmann, 1995; Morris and Feldman, 1996). Hence, the following hypotheses are proposed:

Hypothesis 2: Job satisfaction decreases with the management of feelings.

DATA AND METHODOLOGY

Sample and Data Collection

A questionnaire was circulated to get the respondent's opinion. These opinions were assessed through a Five-Point Likert scale ranging from 1 to 5. Data was collected from 64 medical professionals who contributed to the study. The respondents were taken into confidence and were acquainted with the nature of the research. The medical professionals who participated in the study were doctors and nurses who have been providing their services in a number of hospitals of Lahore region of the province of Punjab in Pakistan such as Iqra Medical Complex, Jinnah Hospital, Mayo Hospital, Model Town Hospital, The Children Hospital, Punjab Institute of Cardiology and the Services Hospital. A total number of 200 questionnaires were distributed but only 64 medical professionals returned the completed questionnaires. The response rate turned out to be 32%. Of the 64 medical professionals, 26 were doctors whereas 38 belonged to the nursing staff.

Measures

The measures used in this study are being employed by investigators widely and are well-known for their validity universally. Job satisfaction index has been adapted from Tsui and Schriesheim (1980) to assess the satisfaction of employees at job, whereas the measures used for work complexity and emotive toil are the replicated measures used by Sloan (2008).

DATA ANALYSIS
Reliability Analysis
SPSS 16 has been used in order to evaluate the data and descriptive statistics as well as inferential statistics have also been carried out to pore over the data. The internal consistency and stability has been made certain by Cronbach's alpha coefficient. The reliability values for the scales and variables exercised in the study are above 0.7 which corroborates and verifies that the scales undertaken in this research are internally consistent and reliable. Thus, we can readily say that the reliability of the scales is unswerving.

Testing of Hypothesis
In order to test the suggested hypotheses, regression analysis was used to gauge the data as shown in the following tables:

Table 1
Results of the Regression Analysis

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.007[a]	.000	.016	.83358

a. Predictors: (Constant), WC

b. Dependent Variable: EL

Regression analysis has been carried out by keeping emotive toil as the dependent variable and work complexity as the independent variable. The R value for interaction with others is 0.007 which shows that there exists a weak correlation between these variables. The R square value shows that independent variable explains the dependent variable by 0.016%. Values of adjusted R square explain the extent to which the model is generalized.

Table 2
Results of Regression Analysis

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.0420[a]	.002	.014	.57751

a. Predictors: (Constant), EL

b. Dependent Variable: JS

Regression analysis has been carried out by keeping job satisfaction as the dependent variable and emotive toil as the independent variable. The R value is 0.420 which shows that there exists a weak correlation between these variables. The R square value shows that emotive toil explains the dependent variable by 0.014%. Values of adjusted R square explain the extent to which the model is generalized.

DISCUSSION

Demonstration of prescribed emotions such as openness, kindliness, responsiveness and eagerness are considered to boost client contentment and pleasure; thus, causing an increase in sales as well as persistence in business and eventually pecuniary triumph and emotive wellbeing of those associated with the toil and service (Hochschild, 1983). Thus, it is mandatory for the employees to demonstrate constructive and affirmative emotions even while coping with demanding and problematic clients and colleagues.

People seem to be satisfied with their jobs and are committed to their organizations even though they have to deal with their sentiments and exert emotive toil. Research scholars inspecting the connection between emotive effort and job satisfaction have discovered varied and disparate results in diverse industries and participants; such as, Morris and Feldman (1997) studied workers from different occupational categories and they found support that managing emotions leads to lower levels of job satisfaction. Findings show that there exists weak association between emotive toil, job satisfaction and work complexity. It shows that the medical professionals perform more emotive toil when work complexity increases whereas it also shows that with the performance of emotive toil, job satisfaction increases in medical professionals.

In another exploration on emotive toil in a sample of varied occupational types in a hospital and a bank, Wharton (1993) found that emotive toil is significantly associated with job satisfaction. The findings of Wharton (1993) are not in harmony with previous research findings. Ashforth and Humphery (1993) advocated that dealing with emotions tends to make contact with others much more expected, conventional and obvious and this would assist employees in keeping away from awkward, discomforting and upsetting situations while interacting with others. Thus, it would aid employees in trimming down pressure, strain and anxiety and it would ultimately boost levels of job satisfaction. The findings of this study are coherent and consistent with the findings of Wharton (1993) and Ashforth and Humphery (1993) which suggest that emotive struggle leads to job satisfaction.

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION

Emotive toil is noteworthy and imperative in many types of occupations. The current study is a fundamental and causal exploration. Conclusions drawn on the basis of analysis disclose that emotive struggle was considerably negatively associated to job satisfaction and work complexity. The research verdicts are only restricted to the medical professionals working in different hospitals in Lahore region of the Punjab Province in Pakistan.

DIRECTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

Future research can be carried out on the emotive toil of professionals working in other fields other than medicine. It can also take into account other factors associated with the emotive toil of nurses and doctors. Moreover, employees working other than the service sector may also be sought out in quest for assessing their emotions. Inexpert and uneducated workforce was not the objective of the study and it also serves as a limitation of the study. Employees' anguish and suffering may also be taken into account in future. Research prospects

may also taken into consideration the influence of culture on emotion management as well as its ramifications. Future research may also be carried out by taking into account the social and communal support as well as the support provided by colleagues on carrying out emotive struggle and administration of feelings.

REFERENCES

1. Abraham, R. (1998). Emotional dissonance in organizations: Antecedents, consequences, and moderators. *Genetic, Social, and General Psychology Monographs, 124*, 229- 246.
2. Adelmann, P.K. (1995), "Emotional labor as a potential source of job stress", in Sauter, S.L. and Murphy, L.R. (Eds), Organizational Risk Factors for Job Stress, American Psychological Association, Washington, DC, pp. 371-81.
3. Ashforth, B.E., & Humphrey, R. H. (1993). Emotional labor in service roles: The influence of identity. *Academy of Management Review, 18*, 88-115.
4. Cahill, S.E. (1999) 'Emotional capital and professional socialization: the case of mortuary science students (and Me)', Social Psychology Quarterly, Vol. 62, pp.101–116.
5. Cranny, C.J., Smith, P.C., Stone, E.F., 1992. Job Satisfaction: How People Feel About their Jobs and How it Affects their Performance. Lexington Press, New York.
6. Fisher, C. D., & Ashkanasy, N. M. (2000). The emerging role of emotions in work life: An introduction. *Journal of Organizational Behavior, 21*, 123-129.
7. Gardner, W. L., & Martinko, M. J. (1988). Impression management in organizations. *Journal of Management, 14,* 321-338.
8. Grandey, A. A. (1999). *The effects of emotional labor: Employee attitudes, stress and performance.* Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Colorado State University, Fort Collins.
9. Haas, J. (1977) 'Learning real feelings', Sociology of Work and Occupations, Vol. 4, pp.47–50.
10. Hochschild, A. (1983) *The Managed Heart: Commercialization of Human Feeling.* Berkeley: University of California Press.
11. Hochschild, A. R. (2003). *The managed heart: Commercialization of human feeling* (Twentieth anniversary edition). Berkley: University of California press.
12. Kruml, S.M., & Geddes, D. (2000a). Exploring the dimensions of emotional labor: The heart of Hochschild's work. *Management Communication Quarterly, 14*, 8-49.
13. Lively, K.J. (2000) 'Reciprocal emotion management: working together to maintain stratification in private law firms', Work and Occupations, Vol. 27, pp.32–63.
14. Miller, J., Schooler, C., Kohn, M.L. and Miller, K.A. (1979) 'Women and work: the psychological effects of occupational conditions', *American Journal of Sociology*, Vol. 85, pp.66–94.
15. Monaghan, D. M. (2006). Emotional labor in customer service work: The perceived difficulty and dispositional antecedents. Doctoral dissertation presented to the graduate faculty of the University of Akron.
16. Morris, J., & Feldman, D. (1996). The dimensions, antecedents, and consequences of emotional labor. *Academy of Management Review, 21*, 986-1010.
17. Morris, J., & Feldman, D. (1997). Managing emotions in the workplace. *Journal of Managerial Issues, 9*, 257-274.
18. Pierce, J.L. (1995) Gender Trials: Emotional Lives in Contemporary Law Firms, University of California Press, Berkeley, CA.
19. Pugliesi, K. (1999). The consequences of emotional labor: Effects on work stress, job satisfaction, and well being. *Motivation and Emotion, 23* (2), 125-154.
20. Rosenberg, M. (1990). Reflexivity and emotions. *Social Psychology Quarterly*, 53(1), 3-12.
21. Ritter, C. and Erickson, R.J. (2001) 'Emotional labor, burnout, and inauthenticity: Does gender matter?', *Social Psychology Quarterly*, Vol. 64, pp.146–163.
22. Schaubroeck, J., & Jones, J. R. (2000). Antecedents of work place emotional labor dimensions and moderators of their effects on physical symptoms. *Journal of Organizational Behavior, 21*, 163-183.
23. Sloan, M.M. (2008) 'Emotion management and workplace status: consequences for well-being', Int. J. Work Organisation and Emotion, Vol. 2, No. 3, pp.236–255.
24. Smith III, A.C. and Kleinman, S. (1989) 'Managing emotions in medical school: students' contacts with the living and the dead', *Social Psychology Quarterly*, Vol. 52, pp.56–69.
25. Yanay, N. & Shahar, G. (1998). Professional feelings as emotional labor. *Journal of Contemporary Ethnography, 27*, 346-373.
26. Yang, F. and Chang, C. (2008). Emotional labour, job satisfaction and organizational commitment amongst clinical nurses: A questionnaire survey. International Journal of Nursing Studies 45 (2008) 879–887